



FISH & NEAVE

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

| TO | COMPANY/FIRM | RECIPIENT FAX | RECIPIENT PHONE |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Ms. Ruth Lloyd | | 703.746.3456 | 703.305.3575 |

| SENDER | DATE | SENDER'S FAX | SENDER'S PHONE |
|------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Robert W. Morris | March 25, 2002 | 212.596.9090 | 212.596.9000 |

| CLIENT | RE: | TIME | PAGES (INCLUDING COVER) |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------------------------|
| 03904.001 (ABBE/001) | | | |

MESSAGE

Ms. Lloyd,

In response to our telephone conversation on Friday, March 22, 2002, I submit a clean copy of the specification with changes as made in the March 13, 2002 Reply to Office Action as requested.

If you have any questions, please contact me at the number listed above.

This communication is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that the unauthorized dissemination of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone. If not completely received, please call back at 212.596.9300 as soon as possible.

1231 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10020 TEL 212.596.9000 FAX 212.596.9090
525 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, PALO ALTO, CA 94301 TEL 650.817.4000 FAX 650.617.4090

#51B
3/25/02
R1144d

ABBE-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Nancy A. Abbe
Application No.: 09/844,627 /
Filed : April 27, 2001
For : METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR STORING FOOD
Group Art Unit : 3727 Conf. No.: 2399
Examiner : Stephen Castellano

New York, NY 10020
March 25, 2002

Hon. Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

This is further to the March 13, 2002 Reply to the September 13, 2002 Office Action in the above-identified application. Included herein is an unmarked copy of the specification with changes as made in the March 13, 2002 Reply which was inadvertently omitted from that Reply. No extension of time fees are due.